

**TA:** LEE, Yat Long Luca

**Email:** [y1lee@math.cuhk.edu.hk](mailto:y1lee@math.cuhk.edu.hk)

**Office:** Room 505, AB1

**Office Hour:** Send me an email first, then we will arrange a meeting (if you need it).

## 1 Review

- A set  $D$  in a metric space  $(X, d)$  is *dense* if for all  $x \in X$ , there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(x) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ .
- Equivalently,  $D$  is dense in  $X$  if the closure of  $D$  is  $X$ , i.e.,  $\overline{D} = X$ .
- A set  $E$  in  $(X, d)$  is *nowhere dense* if  $\overline{E}$  has empty interior.
- A set in  $(X, d)$  is of *first category* if it can be expressed as a countable union of nowhere dense set.
- A set in  $(X, d)$  is of *second category* if it is not of first category.
- A set in  $(X, d)$  is called *residual* if its complement is of a first category.

**Theorem 4.9 (Baire Category Theorem)** In a complete metric space, the countable union of nowhere dense sets has empty interior. Equivalently, all residual sets are dense.

Remark: Nowhere dense set is defined such that its closure has empty interior. If the set is closed, then the above statement require only empty interior as the closure of a closed set is the closed set itself. I.e., countable union of closed set with empty interior has empty interior.

**Theorem 4.9' (Baire Category Theorem)** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $\{G_n\}$  be a sequence of open, dense subsets in  $X$ . Then the set  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$  is dense.

## Exercise 1

*Source: Previous HW Problem*

Use Baire category theorem to show that transcendental numbers are dense in the set of real numbers.

### Solution:

Recall that a number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is called *algebraic* if it is a root of a polynomial with integer coefficients, i.e., for some nonzero  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , we have  $p(a) = 0$ , and is called *transcendental* if otherwise.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of all algebraic and transcendental numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathbb{R} = \mathcal{A} \sqcup \mathcal{T}$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{A}$  is countable, then let  $\mathcal{A}_n = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ , such that  $\bigcup_n \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}$  and hence

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_n \mathcal{A}_n = \bigcap_n (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathcal{A}_n).$$

However,  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  is dense, and open. Hence,  $\mathcal{T}$  is dense by Baire category theorem. ■

## Exercise 2

*Source: Royden and Fitzpatrick*

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of continuous real-valued functions on a complete metric space  $X$  that is pointwise bounded, i.e., for each  $x \in X$ , there is a constant  $M_x$  such that

$$|f(x)| \leq M_x, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then there is a nonempty open subset  $U$  of  $X$  on which  $\mathcal{F}$  is uniformly bounded in the sense that there is a constant  $M$  such that

$$|f| \leq M \text{ on } U \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

### Solution:

For each  $n$ , define  $E_n := \{x \in X : |f(x)| \leq n, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .  $E_n$  is closed, since  $f$  is continuous. Moreover, since  $\mathcal{F}$  is pointwise bounded, for each  $x \in X$ , there is an  $n$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq n$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , i.e.,  $x \in E_n$ . Hence,

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n.$$

Since  $X$  is complete, then Corollary 4.10 from the lecture notes implies that at least one of the  $E_n$ 's has a nonempty interior. So, we can choose an  $n$  for which  $E_n$  contains an open ball  $B(x, r)$ . Hence, we obtain that on  $B(x, r)$ , all  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is bounded by  $n$ . Therefore, the theorem is proved by taking  $U = B(x, r)$  and  $M = n$ . ■

## Exercise 3

This exercise is a corollary of the Baire category theorem.

*Source: Royden and Fitzpatrick*

Let  $X$  be a complete metric space and  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  a countable collection of closed subsets of  $X$ . Then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial F_n$  has empty interior.

### Solution:

Recall the following definitions

- A point  $x \in E$  is called an *interior point* of  $E$  if there is a  $r > 0$  such that  $B(x, r) \subset E$ .
- The collection of interior points of  $E$  is the *interior* of  $E$ .
- A point  $x \in X \setminus E$  is an *exterior point* of  $E$  if there is a  $r > 0$  such that  $B(x, r) \subset X \setminus E$ .
- The collection of exterior points of  $E$  is the *exterior* of  $E$ .

- A point  $x \in X$  is a *boundary point* of  $E$  if there is a  $r > 0$  such that  $B(x, r)$  contains points in the interior of  $E$  and the exterior of  $E$ .
- The collection of boundary points of  $E$  is the boundary of  $E$ , denoted by  $\partial E$ .
- An equivalent definition of  $\partial E$  would be  $\partial E = \overline{E} \cap \overline{X \setminus E}$

One can see that  $\partial E$  has empty interior, since for all  $x \in \partial E$ , and all  $r > 0$ ,  $B(x, r) \not\subset \partial E$ . One sees that  $\partial E$  is also closed, since it is the intersection of two closed sets.

Then  $\{\partial F_n\}$  is a collection of closed sets with empty interior. By Baire category theorem,  $\bigcup_n \partial F_n$  has empty interior. ■

## Exercise 4

*Source: Previous HW and Leon's Tutorial notes*

A function  $f \in C[0, 1]$  is called *non-monotonic* if for all closed subintervals  $J \subset I := [0, 1]$  of positive length,  $f$  is not monotonic on  $J$ . Show that  $\mathcal{N} := \{f \in C(I) : f \text{ is non-monotonic}\}$  is dense in  $C(I)$ .

### Solution:

By Baire category theorem, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{N}$  is residual.

Let  $A := \{(x, n) \in I \times \mathbb{N} : x \in \mathbb{Q}, x \neq 0, 1\}$ , then  $A$  is countable. For all  $(x, n) \in A$ , we define

- $\mathcal{E}_{x,n} = \{f \in C(I) : \text{for all } y \in \overline{B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x)} \cap I, (f(y) - f(x))(y - x) \geq 0\}$  i.e.,  $f$  is increasing.
- $\mathcal{F}_{x,n} = \{f \in C(I) : \text{for all } y \in \overline{B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x)} \cap I, (f(y) - f(x))(y - x) \leq 0\}$  i.e.,  $f$  is decreasing.

Note that  $f \notin \mathcal{N} \iff f$  is not non-monotonic  $\iff$  there exists  $J \subset I$  as above such that  $f$  is monotonic over  $J \iff$  there exists  $(x, n) \in A$  s.t.  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{x,n} \cup \mathcal{F}_{x,n}$ . Hence, we deduced that  $C(I) \setminus \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{E}_{x,n} \cup \mathcal{F}_{x,n}$ .

Following the idea, we need to show that  $\mathcal{E}_{x,n} \cup \mathcal{F}_{x,n}$  is nowhere dense. That is, we want to show that  $\mathcal{E}_{x,n}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{x,n}$  are nowhere dense.

### Show that $\mathcal{E}_{x,n}$ is nowhere dense.

#### Step 1 - $\mathcal{E}_{x,n}$ is closed.

For all converging sequence  $\{f_k\} \subset \mathcal{E}_{x,n}$ , our goal is to show that the limit of  $f_k$ , denoted by  $f$ , converges to  $f \in C(I)$ .

By definition and assumption, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , all  $y \in \overline{B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x)} \cap I$ , we have that

$$(f_k(y) - f_k(x))(y - x) \geq 0$$

then

$$(f(y) - f(x))(y - x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (f_k(y) - f_k(x))(y - x) \geq 0.$$

Hence  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{x,n}$ .

**Step 2 -  $\mathcal{E}_{x,n}$  is nowhere dense.**

For all  $f \in E_{x,n}$ , we show that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the ball  $B_\varepsilon(f) \not\subset E_{x,n}$ .

By Weierstrass approximation theorem, there exists a polynomial  $P$  s.t.  $P \in B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(f)$ . Since  $P|_I$  is  $C^1$ , it is Lipschitz continuous. We let  $L$  to be its Lipschitz constant.

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\varphi_N : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the *jig-saw* function, i.e., a piecewise linear,  $\frac{1}{N}$ -periodic functions with slopes  $\pm 2N$ . Define  $g_N(x) := P(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\varphi_N(x)$ . Then  $g$  is continuous on  $I$ . We check that

- $g_N \in B_\varepsilon(f)$ . Since

$$\|g_N - f\|_\infty = \left\| P + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\varphi_N - f \right\| = \left\| P - f + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\varphi_N \right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

- $g_N \notin \mathcal{E}_{x,n}$  for some  $N$ . Since for all  $y \in I$ , with  $y > x$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (g_N(y) - g_N(x))(y - x) &= (P(y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\varphi_N(y) - P(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\varphi_N(x))(y - x) \\ &= (P(y) - P(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\varphi_N(y) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\varphi_N(x))(y - x) \\ &\leq (L(x - y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}(\varphi_N(y) - \varphi_N(x)))(y - x) \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to obtain an estimate related to the latter term. Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} N > \frac{L}{\varepsilon} \\ \frac{2i-1}{2N} \leq x < \frac{i}{N}, \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N}; 1 \leq i \leq N \end{cases}$$

Choose any  $y \in I$  with  $x < y < \frac{i}{N}$  and  $y - x \leq \frac{1}{n}$ , then

$$\varphi_N(y) - \varphi_N(x) = (-2N)(y - x),$$

hence

$$(g_N(y) - g_N(x))(y - x) \leq (L(y - x) - N\varepsilon(y - x)(y - x)) = (L - N\varepsilon)(y - x)^2 < 0.$$

Therefore  $g \notin \mathcal{E}_{x,n}$ .

Thus,  $\mathcal{E}_{x,n}$  is nowhere dense.

One can then verify that  $\mathcal{F}_{x,n}$  is nowhere dense in a very similar manner, and the claim is thus proven. ■